

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/466,236	12/17/1999	HADI PARTOVI	22379-701	9914
7:	590 09/02/2003			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			EXAMINER	
			POND, ROBERT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
		DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eathersolve for many be writing with the provision of 3° CFR 1.75(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Eathersolve for many be writing with the provision of 3° CFR 1.75(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply a specified above is less bath thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum or thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less bath thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum or thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less bath thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum or thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum or thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply a specified to is considered timely.  Application is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts Qualyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.53 is/are pending in the application is on-final.  3) Claim(s) 1.53 is/are pending in the application from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.53 is/are pending in the application is considered timely.  Ap			$\sim 1_2$				
Examiner   Robert M. Pond   3825		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Robert M. Pond  325  Period for Reply  A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than they (80) days, are grey within the statistory minimum of they (90) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than they (80) days, are grey within the statistory minimum of they (90) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than they (80) days, are grey within the statistory minimum of they (90) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than they day of the statistory period will apply with one statistic period will apply with will be statistic to the period of the communication.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than they day days and the state of the communication of they (10) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than they day days and the state of the communication of they day and they are such as the state of the communication.  An are period for the state of the communication of the state of the communication, and they day and the state of the communication.  Status  Status  Status  Status  Status  Status  Status  Signate this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)		09/466,236	PARTOVI ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estambors of the may be a evaluate under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(g). In revert, however, may a reply be timely fleat before the provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(g). In revert, however, may a reply be timely fleat the period for reply specified some is beat hand they (30) days, a may within the statistic period will apply and will be provided for reply specified and they can be the statistic period will apply and will be provided for reply supported by the period for reply supported by the period for reply supported for reply supported for reply supported and the period for reply supported	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Such as the state of the processor of 50° FM 1.35(d), in no event, honever, may a reply be timely filed and the processor of 50° FM 1.35(d), in no event, honever, may a reply be timely filed and the processor of 50° FM 1.35(d), in no event, honever, may a reply be timely filed and the processor of 50° FM 1.35(d), in no event, honever, may a reply be timely filed and the file of 50° FM 1.35(d), in the state of 1.35° FM 1.35(d) and will be considered timely.  - If NO priced for reply is apposited above, the maximum state to processor of the state the state of 1.35° FM			L				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time rapy be willowed worth the provision of 3 CFR in 15(8). In no event, however, may a reply be timely find after 5X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO period for reply is specified bedow, the maximum assertory period will be publicated in the provision of the communication of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some Ol None of  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or e	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)   Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected.  7)   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9)   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)   The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)     Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).   Simple of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☑ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	· <u>_</u>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
6)  Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a) All b) Some * c) None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 31 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		wn from consideration.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-852)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1152)	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	The state of the s	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	··· <u> </u>	r.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  51) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>		to by the Examiner				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal F					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The Applicant filed a Request for Continued Examination on 02 June 2003. The Applicant amended Claims 1, 19, 29, 32, 36, 48, 50, and 52. All pending claims, 1-53, were examined in this non-final Office Action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. New art cited to address the amended claims pertains to voice commerce technology and systems that implement electronic voice commerce by allowing users to interact with Internet commerce services by speaking single commands, phrases, or natural language over telephones to conduct electronic commerce. Additional prior art was combined with Chelliah et al. (US 5,710,887) to make it very clear that Chelliah et al. is the One-to-One online system of BroadVision and that BroadVision's participation in Nuance's V-Commerce Alliance is for the purpose of integrating voice-commerce into BroadVision's One-to-One system.

## Specification

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At least reference characters 406 (Fig. 4) and 506 (Fig. 5) are not annotated in the specification with disclosed subject matter. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 36 objected to because of the following informalities: insufficient antecedent basis on line 11 does not render claim indefinite; however, appropriate correction is required. This examiner understands the Applicant's intent by claiming "the purchaser."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11-21, 23-25, 32-38, 40-41, and 45-53 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over PR Newswire (PTO-892, Item: UU) and Chelliah et al. (patent number 5,710,887), in view of Voice Commerce (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X and VV).

PR Newswire teaches BroadVision being issued US Patent No. 5,710,887 covering its One-to-One Application System that supports large user and content databases, high transaction volumes, intelligent agent matching, and easy integration with existing business systems (Item: UU, pages 1-2). US Patent No. 5.710.887 (hereafter referred to as Chelliah et al.) teach the system, method, and means of the One-to-One system. Chelliah et al. teach facilitating electronic commerce between a plurality of customers, suppliers, and third-party commerce enablers (see at least abstract; Fig. 2 (10); col. 3, line 5 through col. 5, line 3). Chelliah et al. teach a system for facilitating commercial transactions over a computer driven network capable of providing communication between a supplier and at least one customer site (see at least col. 2, lines 36-43), an electronic computer architecture that can accommodate a wide variety of implementations. and an electronic mall comprising a collection of suppliers offering goods and services (see at least col. 2, lines 43-50; col. 6, lines 4-25). Customers initiate Internet-based commerce sessions with an electronic storefront or a variety storefronts via a web server, request product information, purchase requested items, make payments, and provide shipping information for delivery (see at least Fig. 1 (10); col. 6, lines 26-57). Chelliah et al. teach customer interfaces comprising a video terminal, cable television set-top device, touch-sensitive kiosk screen, touch-tone telephone, or any other device or combination of devices capable of reproducing or otherwise displaying human intelligible audio and/or visual information to a customer and capable of converting human input to a

discrete signal capable of being recognized by a computer (see at least col. 3, lines 5-15; col. 6, lines 31-34; col. 9, lines 53-61). Chelliah et al. teach catalog systems, product databases for viewing and comparing items, secure transaction processing, HTML, Internet protocol, customer receipt and billing information, and shipping. Chelliah et al. further teach a participant program object that contains profile data and demographic data that is used in various phases of a purchase transaction (see at least Fig. 11 (264); col. 9, line 62 through col. 10, line 30; col. 22, lines 9-63).

PR Newswire and Chelliah et al. teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection but do not disclose a single command commerce model. Voice Commerce teaches Motorola, Visa International, and BroadVision joining with Nuance Communication to introduce V-Commerce. As members of the V-Commerce Alliance, these companies will build and deploy applications using a voice interface that allows users to complete self-service transactions alternatively using the Web or the telephone (Item: U, see pages 1-2). Voice Commerce teaches the following (see at least Items: U-W and VV):

 voice applications complementing web sites for customers to obtain quotes, account balances, do complete transactions for equities and mutual funds over the telephone using Nuance's natural language speech recognition (Item: U, page 2), Application/Control Number: 09/466,236 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

 uses for online shopping, for information gathering, purchasing, post sales actions (e.g. order status and support) by speaking over a telephone (Item: U, page 2),

- a unique voice print to restrict access to secure information (page 2),
- Voice In/Out- as a stand alone interface involving a dialog over the phone
  with a speech system, in lieu of using a touch-tone keypad or by speaking
  to an agent in a call center (Item: U, page 2),
- Voice In/Out or Web In/Out- accessing with the most convenient device at time of transaction (Item: U, page 2)
- Voice In/Web or Device Out- voice input and visual output, instead of clicking through multiple web pages, user simply "say their request" (Item: U, page 2) (please note: this examiner interprets at least this teaching to suggest that a traditional shopping cart model will be simplified to a single voice command model),
- application architecture comprising a voice user interface (VUI), common set of business rules, application programming interfaces, and transaction middleware which are share between speech and web applications, and databases (Item: U, page 2),
- speech objects designed to be portable so that applications built around them can be deployed on major server and interactive voice response (IVR) platforms (Item: U, page 2),

Application/Control Number: 09/466,236 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

 Motorola's Voice Markup Language (VoxML), simplifying the process of providing telephone access to content available on Web sites (Item: U, page 2) through voice commands (Item: W, page 1),

- V-Commerce example using natural language (Item: V, pages 2-3),
- V-Commerce call center example enhancing existing service already
  offering customers the ability to retrieve stock and mutual fund quotes
  over the telephone by speaking the name of the company (Item: W, page
  1), and
- Odeon Cinemas V-Commerce call center application (using Nuance's natural language speech recognition) where callers are simply asked to say the name of the theater or town they wish to visit (Item: VV, pages 1-2).

V-Commerce further teaches a) BroadVision and Nuance working together as V-Commerce Alliance partners to integrate the BroadVision One-to-One family of Internet applications with Nuance SpeechObjects, providing a combined solution to personalize business-to-consumer services over the telephone, and complementing BroadVision's strength in Web enabling such services (Item: X, pages 1-2), b) BroadVision adding voice command speech-recognition to its One-to-one server (Item: W, page 1), and c) extending benefits of personalized services to 800 million telephones and other connected devices. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of PR Newswire and Chelliah et al. to integrate

Application/Control Number: 09/466,236

Art Unit: 3625

single command voice commerce into the One-to-One family of applications as disclosed by Voice Commerce, in order to extend Web commerce services to a potential of 800 million telephone users, and thereby increase sales of the On-to-One family of applications to businesses wanting to tap this market.

Page 8

5. Claims 4, 22, and 39 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over PR Newswire (PTO-892, Item: UU), Chelliah et al. (patent number 5,710,887), and Voice Commerce (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X and VV), as applied to Claims 2, 20, and 36, further in view of Official Notice regarding old and well-known reverse directory lookup services.

PR Newswire, Chelliah et al., and Voice Commerce teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach a) single command voice commerce, b) a customer contact system for communicating with customers, and c) a participant program object that contains identifying information (see at least Fig. 2 (112); col. 9, line 30 through col. 10, line 43) but do not specifically disclose using a telephone directory for a reverse lookup for identifying information. This examiner takes the position that conducting a reverse directory lookup based telephone numbers to extract customer identifying information is old and well known in the telecommunications industry. Therefore would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of PR Newswire, Chelliah et al., and Voice Commerce to include reverse

directory lookup as taught by Official Notice, in order to provide another approach to cross-referencing customer identifying information and thereby offer more convenience and service to the customer.

6. Claims 8-10, 26-31, and 42-44 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over PR Newswire (PTO-892, Item: UU), Chelliah et al. (patent number 5,710,887), and Voice Commerce (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X, and VV), as applied to Claims 1, 19, and 36, further in view of Business Wire (PTO-892, Item: WW).

PR Newswire, Chelliah et al., and Voice Commerce teach secure transactions, secure payment systems, and connecting to web servers with web browsers, but do not disclose one or more of HTTP, SSL and HTTPS. Business Wire teaches Internet commerce leader BroadVision using SSL and SET (Secure Electronic Transaction) security protocols. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of PR Newswire, Chelliah et al., and Voice Commerce to include industry standard security protocols at taught by Business Wire, in order to ensure secure interoperability between commerce systems.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mr. Robert M. Pond** whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Ms. Wynn Coggins** can be reached on 703-308-1344.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

**703-872-9306** (Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

Patent Examiner August 21, 2003